Freshmen Programming Contest 2023

Solutions presentation

May 27, 2023



• **Problem:** Given list of dice and the number of faces of each one of them, calculate the expected value of throwing all of them at once.

• **Problem:** Given list of dice and the number of faces of each one of them, calculate the expected value of throwing all of them at once.

la andra da se a la se a

• Naive solution: Calculate all possible throws, sum them and then divide them by the number of possible outcomes.

• **Problem:** Given list of dice and the number of faces of each one of them, calculate the expected value of throwing all of them at once.

a a da a da cara da a a

- Naive solution: Calculate all possible throws, sum them and then divide them by the number of possible outcomes.
- Complexity: \$\mathcal{O}(x_{max}^n)\$. Gets accepted!

- **Problem:** Given list of dice and the number of faces of each one of them, calculate the expected value of throwing all of them at once.
- Naive solution: Calculate all possible throws, sum them and then divide them by the number of
 possible outcomes.
- Complexity: \$\mathcal{O}(x_{max}^n)\$. Gets accepted!
- Observation:
 - E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y], for any independent X and Y
 - $E[\text{dice with } k \text{ faces}] = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{x=1}^{k} x = \frac{k+1}{2}$

- **Problem:** Given list of dice and the number of faces of each one of them, calculate the expected value of throwing all of them at once.
- Naive solution: Calculate all possible throws, sum them and then divide them by the number of possible outcomes.
- **Complexity:** $\mathcal{O}(x_{\max}^{n})$. Gets accepted!
- Observation:
 - E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y], for any independent X and Y
 - $E[\text{dice with } k \text{ faces}] = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{x=1}^{k} x = \frac{k+1}{2}$
- Fast solution: Calculate the expected value of every dice, then sum them up.

- **Problem:** Given list of dice and the number of faces of each one of them, calculate the expected value of throwing all of them at once.
- Naive solution: Calculate all possible throws, sum them and then divide them by the number of possible outcomes.
- Complexity: \$\mathcal{O}(x_{max}^n)\$. Gets accepted!
- Observation:
 - E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y], for any independent X and Y
 - $E[\text{dice with } k \text{ faces}] = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{x=1}^{k} x = \frac{k+1}{2}$
- Fast solution: Calculate the expected value of every dice, then sum them up.
- **Complexity:** $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

- **Problem:** Given list of dice and the number of faces of each one of them, calculate the expected value of throwing all of them at once.
- Naive solution: Calculate all possible throws, sum them and then divide them by the number of possible outcomes.
- **Complexity:** $\mathcal{O}(x_{\max}^{n})$. Gets accepted!
- Observation:
 - E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y], for any independent X and Y
 - $E[\text{dice with } k \text{ faces}] = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{x=1}^{k} x = \frac{k+1}{2}$
- Fast solution: Calculate the expected value of every dice, then sum them up.
- **Complexity:** $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

Statistics: 48 submissions, 44 accepted, 1 unknown

يستعد بالالتين بهامته أربيسهم

a against days of the second second

• Solution: Perform a simulation of the droplets rolling down the window, one by one.

a against the could be a given before a construction

- Solution: Perform a simulation of the droplets rolling down the window, one by one.
- Pitfalls:
 - Check your unit conversions: 1 m = 1000 mm, 1 m³ = 10^9 mm³.

a against dan dijerangan bahara sana me

- Solution: Perform a simulation of the droplets rolling down the window, one by one.
- Pitfalls:
 - Check your unit conversions: 1 m = 1000 mm, 1 m³ = 10^9 mm³.
 - Off-by-one errors are easy to make.

a against the could be a given before a construction

- Solution: Perform a simulation of the droplets rolling down the window, one by one.
- Pitfalls:
 - Check your unit conversions: 1 m = 1000 mm, 1 m³ = 10^9 mm³.
 - Off-by-one errors are easy to make.

Statistics: 61 submissions, 38 accepted, 15 unknown

Problem Author: Jeroen Op de Beek

and and a particular the second second

• **Problem:** Generate a palindrome with exactly $n \le 10^9$ palindrome substrings.

Problem Author: Jeroen Op de Beek

and and a second second second

- **Problem:** Generate a palindrome with exactly $n \le 10^9$ palindrome substrings.
- **Observation:** A string of length ℓ with identical characters has $\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2}$ palindromes.
 - Thus, the length of the palindrome-esque word is $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$.

Problem Author: Jeroen Op de Beek

- <u>սովետքերին հետևերի հետություններին էր</u>
- **Problem:** Generate a palindrome with exactly $n \le 10^9$ palindrome substrings.
- **Observation:** A string of length ℓ with identical characters has $\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2}$ palindromes.
 - Thus, the length of the palindrome-esque word is $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n}).$
- Solution: Find an ℓ such that $\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2} \leq n$, generate "a" $\times \ell$, and fill up the remainder with either:
 - non-palindromes (e.g., "bcdbcd...").
 - recursively applying the same strategy until the remaining length is 0.

Problem Author: Jeroen Op de Beek

- **Problem:** Generate a palindrome with exactly $n \le 10^9$ palindrome substrings.
- **Observation:** A string of length ℓ with identical characters has $\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2}$ palindromes.
 - Thus, the length of the palindrome-esque word is $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$.
- Solution: Find an ℓ such that $\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2} \leq n$, generate "a" $\times \ell$, and fill up the remainder with either:

- non-palindromes (e.g., "bcdbcd...").
- recursively applying the same strategy until the remaining length is 0.
- ℓ can be found using (linear or binary) search, or exactly:

$$\ell = \left\lfloor \frac{\sqrt{8n+1}-1}{2} \right\rfloor$$

Problem Author: Jeroen Op de Beek

- and the state of the second second
- **Problem:** Generate a palindrome with exactly $n \le 10^9$ palindrome substrings.
- **Observation:** A string of length ℓ with identical characters has $\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2}$ palindromes.
 - Thus, the length of the palindrome-esque word is $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$.
- Solution: Find an ℓ such that $\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2} \leq n$, generate "a" $\times \ell$, and fill up the remainder with either:
 - non-palindromes (e.g., "bcdbcd...").
 - recursively applying the same strategy until the remaining length is 0.
- ℓ can be found using (linear or binary) search, or exactly:

$$\ell = \left\lfloor \frac{\sqrt{8n+1}-1}{2} \right\rfloor$$

Statistics: 50 submissions, 22 accepted, 12 unknown



• Problem: Shuffle the playlist such that no two songs from the same artist are played in a row.



- Problem: Shuffle the playlist such that no two songs from the same artist are played in a row.
- Guarantee: At least half of the songs are from a unique artist.



- Problem: Shuffle the playlist such that no two songs from the same artist are played in a row.
- Guarantee: At least half of the songs are from a unique artist.
- Solution: Interleave the songs that have a unique artist with other songs.



- Problem: Shuffle the playlist such that no two songs from the same artist are played in a row.
- Guarantee: At least half of the songs are from a unique artist.
- Solution: Interleave the songs that have a unique artist with other songs.
- Many other fancy strategies are possible, but not required.



- Problem: Shuffle the playlist such that no two songs from the same artist are played in a row.
- Guarantee: At least half of the songs are from a unique artist.
- Solution: Interleave the songs that have a unique artist with other songs.
- Many other fancy strategies are possible, but not required.

Statistics: 103 submissions, 21 accepted, 44 unknown

hada da bara da bara per serie de serie d

н.

Problem: Given a list of n boxes that need to be processed by a machine line in at most k runs, determine the minimum summed weight that the machine needs to handle in one run.

أمامت بالتحد ليهيها بالمتحدث فتقارأ

• Naive solution: Iterate through all possible capacities and simulate the machine line to see if it finishes in less than *k* runs.

 $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot \sum x)$ is too slow! Where $\sum x$ is the sum of all the weights.

أمامت بالتحد ليهيها بالمتحدث فتقارأ

• Naive solution: Iterate through all possible capacities and simulate the machine line to see if it finishes in less than k runs.

 $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot \sum x)$ is too slow! Where $\sum x$ is the sum of all the weights.

Observation: If the machine line processes everything in less than k runs with a capacity of a, then it will also work for a capacity of b, where a < b.

أم يا من المحمد اليونيون بالمحمد المحمد ال

• Naive solution: Iterate through all possible capacities and simulate the machine line to see if it finishes in less than k runs.

 $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot \sum x)$ is too slow! Where $\sum x$ is the sum of all the weights.

- Observation: If the machine line processes everything in less than k runs with a capacity of a, then it will also work for a capacity of b, where a < b.
- Solution: Binary search the capacity of the machine line.

أمامت بالتحد ويورز والمحدث فالترا

• Naive solution: Iterate through all possible capacities and simulate the machine line to see if it finishes in less than k runs.

 $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot \sum x)$ is too slow! Where $\sum x$ is the sum of all the weights.

- Observation: If the machine line processes everything in less than k runs with a capacity of a, then it will also work for a capacity of b, where a < b.
- Solution: Binary search the capacity of the machine line.
- Complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n\log(\sum x))$.

and a second second

• Naive solution: Iterate through all possible capacities and simulate the machine line to see if it finishes in less than *k* runs.

 $\mathcal{O}\left(n \cdot \sum x\right)$ is too slow! Where $\sum x$ is the sum of all the weights.

- Observation: If the machine line processes everything in less than k runs with a capacity of a, then it will also work for a capacity of b, where a < b.
- Solution: Binary search the capacity of the machine line.
- Complexity: $\mathcal{O}\left(n\log\left(\sum x\right)\right)$.
- **Pitfall:** Do not start binary search at 0, because machine capacity should be larger than the largest box.

and a second second

• Naive solution: Iterate through all possible capacities and simulate the machine line to see if it finishes in less than *k* runs.

 $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot \sum x)$ is too slow! Where $\sum x$ is the sum of all the weights.

- Observation: If the machine line processes everything in less than k runs with a capacity of a, then it will also work for a capacity of b, where a < b.
- Solution: Binary search the capacity of the machine line.
- Complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n\log(\sum x))$.
- **Pitfall:** Do not start binary search at 0, because machine capacity should be larger than the largest box.

Statistics: 57 submissions, 13 accepted, 17 unknown



• **Problem:** Determine in which level to start your playthrough, so that you miss the least armour upgrades.

- **Problem:** Determine in which level to start your playthrough, so that you miss the least armour upgrades.
- Solution:
 - Calculate for each level *i* how many armour upgrades it contains (*c_i*) and how often it is required to obtain another armour upgrade (*r_i*).

- **Problem:** Determine in which level to start your playthrough, so that you miss the least armour upgrades.
- Solution:
 - Calculate for each level *i* how many armour upgrades it contains (*c_i*) and how often it is required to obtain another armour upgrade (*r_i*).
 - Determine how many upgrades you would miss by starting at level 1 and store this in a variable *ans*.

- **Problem:** Determine in which level to start your playthrough, so that you miss the least armour upgrades.
- Solution:
 - Calculate for each level *i* how many armour upgrades it contains (*c_i*) and how often it is required to obtain another armour upgrade (*r_i*).
 - Determine how many upgrades you would miss by starting at level 1 and store this in a variable *ans*.
 - For each level *i* in ascending order, determine how many you would miss by doing level *i* last instead of first: ans := ans + r_i − c_i.

• **Problem:** Determine in which level to start your playthrough, so that you miss the least armour upgrades.

11

- Solution:
 - Calculate for each level *i* how many armour upgrades it contains (*c_i*) and how often it is required to obtain another armour upgrade (*r_i*).
 - Determine how many upgrades you would miss by starting at level 1 and store this in a variable *ans*.
 - For each level *i* in ascending order, determine how many you would miss by doing level *i* last instead of first: ans := ans + r_i − c_i.
 - Output the minimal value for *ans* over all levels.

• **Problem:** Determine in which level to start your playthrough, so that you miss the least armour upgrades.

11

- Solution:
 - Calculate for each level *i* how many armour upgrades it contains (*c_i*) and how often it is required to obtain another armour upgrade (*r_i*).
 - Determine how many upgrades you would miss by starting at level 1 and store this in a variable *ans*.
 - For each level *i* in ascending order, determine how many you would miss by doing level *i* last instead of first: ans := ans + r_i − c_i.
 - Output the minimal value for *ans* over all levels.
- Pitfalls: Brute force quadratic solutions are too slow.
• **Problem:** Determine in which level to start your playthrough, so that you miss the least armour upgrades.

- Solution:
 - Calculate for each level *i* how many armour upgrades it contains (*c_i*) and how often it is required to obtain another armour upgrade (*r_i*).
 - Determine how many upgrades you would miss by starting at level 1 and store this in a variable *ans*.
 - For each level *i* in ascending order, determine how many you would miss by doing level *i* last instead of first: ans := ans + r_i − c_i.
 - Output the minimal value for *ans* over all levels.
- Pitfalls: Brute force quadratic solutions are too slow.

Statistics: 28 submissions, 4 accepted, 20 unknown



• **Problem:** Get to the final room in a dungeon, where you only see the symbols of the doors leading from the current room.

• **Problem:** Get to the final room in a dungeon, where you only see the symbols of the doors leading from the current room.

• Solution: Use recursive DFS to delve deeper into the dungeon.

- **Problem:** Get to the final room in a dungeon, where you only see the symbols of the doors leading from the current room.
- Solution: Use recursive DFS to delve deeper into the dungeon.
- If you don't find the final room in a recursive call, print the symbol of the door you went through to go back.

- **Problem:** Get to the final room in a dungeon, where you only see the symbols of the doors leading from the current room.
- Solution: Use recursive DFS to delve deeper into the dungeon.
- If you don't find the final room in a recursive call, print the symbol of the door you went through to go back.

• **Pitfall:** Not being careful about when you print the symbol of a door.

- **Problem:** Get to the final room in a dungeon, where you only see the symbols of the doors leading from the current room.
- **Solution:** Use recursive DFS to delve deeper into the dungeon.
- If you don't find the final room in a recursive call, print the symbol of the door you went through to go back.

- **Pitfall:** Not being careful about when you print the symbol of a door.
- On that note, if you solved this problem, you're probably qualified to be in the jury for next year (the point above took the author > 3 hours to debug).

- **Problem:** Get to the final room in a dungeon, where you only see the symbols of the doors leading from the current room.
- **Solution:** Use recursive DFS to delve deeper into the dungeon.
- If you don't find the final room in a recursive call, print the symbol of the door you went through to go back.
- **Pitfall:** Not being careful about when you print the symbol of a door.
- On that note, if you solved this problem, you're probably qualified to be in the jury for next year (the point above took the author > 3 hours to debug).

"If there is a way forward, you are never lost" —The guy two invented DFS, probably

. . .

- **Problem:** Get to the final room in a dungeon, where you only see the symbols of the doors leading from the current room.
- **Solution:** Use recursive DFS to delve deeper into the dungeon.
- If you don't find the final room in a recursive call, print the symbol of the door you went through to go back.
- **Pitfall:** Not being careful about when you print the symbol of a door.
- On that note, if you solved this problem, you're probably qualified to be in the jury for next year (the point above took the author > 3 hours to debug).

"If there is a way forward, you are never lost" —The guy two invented DFS, probably

Statistics: 31 submissions, 5 accepted, 19 unknown

- **Problem:** Given a special functional graph, change the least number of edges such that there is a maximal path of length *k*.
- Observation 1: The array in the input is a permutation → the favourite cat relations form disjoint cycles.



TT HAPPEN AND THE TOTAL OF

Cats are visualised as circles, with arrows being the favourite playing cat relations

- **Problem:** Given a special functional graph, change the least number of edges such that there is a maximal path of length *k*.
- **Observation 2:** If there is a cycle of length *k*, 0 operations suffice.



ni digas all'i con como di

Take whole cycle as team.

- **Problem:** Given a special functional graph, change the least number of edges such that there is a maximal path of length *k*.
- Observation 3: Else if there is a cycle of length > k, 1 operation is enough.



al diper all the second

Make cycle into a path, and then choose where to start.

- **Problem:** Given a special functional graph, change the least number of edges such that there is a maximal path of length *k*.
- Observation 4: If you can make teams of length a and b, can make team of length a+1, a+2,..., a+b in 1 operation.



The strength of the second sec

Merge two cycles.

- **Problem:** Given a special functional graph, change the least number of edges such that there is a maximal path of length *k*.
- Solution: Greedily merge largest cycles in this way, until sum becomes ≥ k.
- Complexity: O(n) for finding the disjoint cycles and O(n log n) or O(n) for sorting the cycle sizes.



Repeatedly connect cycles together.

- **Problem:** Given a special functional graph, change the least number of edges such that there is a maximal path of length *k*.
- Solution: Greedily merge largest cycles in this way, until sum becomes ≥ k.
- Complexity: O(n) for finding the disjoint cycles and O(n log n) or O(n) for sorting the cycle sizes.



the strate of the second

Repeatedly connect cycles together.

Statistics: 40 submissions, 4 accepted, 17 unknown





.

41.4

• **Observation:** If we want to remove an arrow, all of the other arrows that it points to must be removed first.

.

.

- 14

. . . .

- **Observation:** If we want to remove an arrow, all of the other arrows that it points to must be removed first.
- This means that the arrow that we want to remove has a bunch of dependencies.



-**4**-1 -- 11

....

- **Observation:** If we want to remove an arrow, all of the other arrows that it points to must be removed first.
- This means that the arrow that we want to remove has a bunch of dependencies.

• **Naive Solution:** We can build a graph with all the dependencies, then compute the topological sorting.

.

. . . .

- **Observation:** If we want to remove an arrow, all of the other arrows that it points to must be removed first.
- This means that the arrow that we want to remove has a bunch of dependencies.



- Naive Solution: We can build a graph with all the dependencies, then compute the topological sorting.
 - If one such sorting exists, we have a solution.
 - If one does not exist, then it is impossible to solve the puzzle.

• Naive Solution: We can build a graph with all the dependencies, then compute the topological sorting.

.....

. . . .

41.41

- Complexity:
 - Since an arrow points to an entire row or column of arrows, a cell has O(h) or O(w) dependencies, therefore, we have O(hw) nodes and O(hw(h + w)) edges.

Naive Solution: We can build a graph with all the dependencies, then compute the topological sorting.

. . .

- 14

. . .

- Complexity:
 - Since an arrow points to an entire row or column of arrows, a cell has O(h) or O(w) dependencies, therefore, we have O(hw) nodes and O(hw(h + w)) edges.
 - Since the topological sorting has O(V + E) complexity, then this solution yields O(hw(h + w)) complexity, which is not enough to pass the time limit.



an er del all

....

. . . .

41.41

 Instead of starting from a cell and recursively solving all of its dependencies, we can instead start from the trivial nodes, with no dependencies and then solve the nodes with more dependencies.

....

. . . .

.

41.41

• **Solution:** Start from the margins of the puzzle and remove the cells one by one.

....

.....

. . . .

41.0

- **Solution:** Start from the margins of the puzzle and remove the cells one by one.
- When removing a cell, we can then attempt to remove all of its remaining neighbours.

- **Observation:** Maybe we can try to solve this in the opposite way.
- **Solution:** Start from the margins of the puzzle and remove the cells one by one.
- When removing a cell, we can then attempt to remove all of its remaining neighbours.
- To find the neighbours of a cell quickly, we can maintain for each cell a link to its neighbours.



41.411

. . . .

- **Observation:** Maybe we can try to solve this in the opposite way.
- **Solution:** Start from the margins of the puzzle and remove the cells one by one.
- When removing a cell, we can then attempt to remove all of its remaining neighbours.
- To find the neighbours of a cell quickly, we can maintain for each cell a link to its neighbours.
- When removing a cell, we must link its left and right neighbours between each other. The same goes for the neighbours from above and below.



- Solution: Start from the margins of the puzzle and remove the cells one by one.
- When removing a cell, we can then attempt to remove all of its remaining neighbours.

.......

.

41.41

- Complexity:
 - We remove $\mathcal{O}(h \cdot w)$ cells.

- Solution: Start from the margins of the puzzle and remove the cells one by one.
- When removing a cell, we can then attempt to remove all of its remaining neighbours.
- Complexity:
 - We remove $\mathcal{O}(h \cdot w)$ cells.
 - Whenever we remove a cell, we check for at most 4 neighbours (up, down, left, right).

. . . .

41.0

- Solution: Start from the margins of the puzzle and remove the cells one by one.
- When removing a cell, we can then attempt to remove all of its remaining neighbours.
- Complexity:
 - We remove $\mathcal{O}(h \cdot w)$ cells.
 - Whenever we remove a cell, we check for at most 4 neighbours (up, down, left, right).

41.41

. . . .

. . . .

• Changing the links of neighbouring cells takes $\mathcal{O}(1)$ time.

- Solution: Start from the margins of the puzzle and remove the cells one by one.
- When removing a cell, we can then attempt to remove all of its remaining neighbours.
- Complexity:
 - We remove $\mathcal{O}(h \cdot w)$ cells.
 - Whenever we remove a cell, we check for at most 4 neighbours (up, down, left, right).

.....

41 -

- Changing the links of neighbouring cells takes $\mathcal{O}(1)$ time.
- In total, the solution takes $\mathcal{O}(h \cdot w)$ time, which is enough to pass the time limit.

- Solution: Start from the margins of the puzzle and remove the cells one by one.
- When removing a cell, we can then attempt to remove all of its remaining neighbours.
- Complexity:
 - We remove $\mathcal{O}(h \cdot w)$ cells.
 - Whenever we remove a cell, we check for at most 4 neighbours (up, down, left, right).

.....

.

- Changing the links of neighbouring cells takes $\mathcal{O}(1)$ time.
- In total, the solution takes $\mathcal{O}(h \cdot w)$ time, which is enough to pass the time limit.

Statistics: 47 submissions, 1 accepted, 27 unknown



مامله ببي



معامله ورواله



مقاملة ببرم



. . . .

ماملة ببيعة
Problem Author: Matei Tinca



. . . .

. . . .

مقاملة ببرم

Magenta: Left Red: Up Green: Right Blue: Down (impossible)

• **Problem:** Given a set of **uniform random** points in a square, find the smallest perimeter among all triangles.



da a sella con a con a con

- Problem: Given a set of uniform random points in a square, find the smallest perimeter among all triangles.
- Naive solution: Calculate the perimeter of all possible triangles, and take the minimum.



da a adle era e la

- Problem: Given a set of uniform random points in a square, find the smallest perimeter among all triangles.
- Naive solution: Calculate the perimeter of all possible triangles, and take the minimum.
- Complexity: This solution runs in \$\mathcal{O}\$ (n³) time, too slow!



- **Problem:** Given a set of **uniform random** points in a square, find the smallest perimeter among all triangles.
- **Observation:** The points are randomly distributed, so there are no nasty testcases.
- How can we make use of this fact?



- **Problem:** Given a set of **uniform random** points in a square, find the smallest perimeter among all triangles.
- **Solution:** Divide the bounding box into a $\lfloor \sqrt{\frac{n}{3}} \rfloor \times \lfloor \sqrt{\frac{n}{3}} \rfloor$ grid, with sidelengths ℓ .



de sulla sus a la s

- Problem: Given a set of uniform random points in a square, find the smallest perimeter among all triangles.
- **Solution:** Divide the bounding box into a $\lfloor \sqrt{\frac{n}{3}} \rfloor \times \lfloor \sqrt{\frac{n}{3}} \rfloor$ grid, with sidelengths ℓ .
- **Observation:** By the pigeonhole principle, at least one of the tiles contains 3 points.



de suelle son e la

- Problem: Given a set of uniform random points in a square, find the smallest perimeter among all triangles.
- **Solution:** Divide the bounding box into a $\lfloor \sqrt{\frac{n}{3}} \rfloor \times \lfloor \sqrt{\frac{n}{3}} \rfloor$ grid, with sidelengths ℓ .
- **Observation:** By the pigeonhole principle, at least one of the tiles contains 3 points.
- Observation: The smallest perimeter is hence at most $\left(2+\sqrt{2}\right)\ell.$



da a adle era e la

- Problem: Given a set of uniform random points in a square, find the smallest perimeter among all triangles.
- **Solution:** Divide the bounding box into a $\lfloor \sqrt{\frac{n}{3}} \rfloor \times \lfloor \sqrt{\frac{n}{3}} \rfloor$ grid, with sidelengths ℓ .
- **Observation:** By the pigeonhole principle, at least one of the tiles contains 3 points.
- Observation: The smallest perimeter is hence at most $\left(2+\sqrt{2}\right)\ell.$
- Observation: This means that the distance between two points of the smallest triangle can at most be $\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\right)\ell < 2\ell$.



da a sella a se a l

- **Problem:** Given a set of **uniform random** points in a square, find the smallest perimeter among all triangles.
- Observation: This means that the distance between two points of the smallest triangle can at most be $\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\right)\ell < 2\ell$.
- Solution: Calculate the perimeter of the triangles contained in all blocks of 3 × 3 tiles.



de suelle son e la

- Problem: Given a set of uniform random points in a square, find the smallest perimeter among all triangles.
- Observation: This means that the distance between two points of the smallest triangle can at most be $\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\right)\ell < 2\ell$.
- Solution: Calculate the perimeter of the triangles contained in all blocks of 3 × 3 tiles.
- **Solution:** Because the points are uniformly distributed, the number of points inside the blocks is small with high probability.



da a sella a se a la

- **Problem:** Given a set of **uniform random** points in a square, find the smallest perimeter among all triangles.
- Observation: This means that the distance between two points of the smallest triangle can at most be $\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\right)\ell < 2\ell$.
- Solution: Calculate the perimeter of the triangles contained in all blocks of 3 × 3 tiles.
- **Solution:** Because the points are uniformly distributed, the number of points inside the blocks is small with high probability.
- **Complexity:** $\mathcal{O}(n)$, with high probability.



المراجعة والمراجعة

- Problem: Given a set of uniform random points in a square, find the smallest perimeter among all triangles.
- Solution: Many other solutions work using the randomness, as long as you somehow do not check all possible triangles.
- Challenge: Try to make an algorithm that does not use randomness, and runs in O(nlog(n)) time.



Problem Author: Leon van der Waal

• Time limits can be tricky...



Problem Author: Leon van der Waal

• Time limits can be tricky...



de a selle se a se a

Statistics: 38 submissions, 0 accepted, 33 unknown

Language stats



Jury work

• 361 commits (last year: 371)

Jury work

- 361 commits (last year: 371)
- 339 secret test cases (last year: 252)

Jury work

- 361 commits (last year: 371)
- 339 secret test cases (last year: 252)
- 96 accepted jury/proofreader solutions (last year: 59)

Jury work

- 361 commits (last year: 371)
- 339 secret test cases (last year: 252)
- 96 accepted jury/proofreader solutions (last year: 59)
- The minimum¹ number of lines the jury needed to solve all problems is

4 + 6 + 5 + 9 + 1 + 12 + 14 + 5 + 3 + 5 = 64

On average 6.4 lines per problem, down from 10.4 last year

Thanks to:

The Proofreaders

- Andrei Botocan (Bucharest, Romania)
- Davina van Meer (Delft)
- Michael Vasseur (VU Amsterdam)
- Michal Tešnar (RU Groningen)
- Nadyne Aretz (TU Delft)
- Wietze Koops (RU Groningen)

The Jury for FPC (TU Delft) and AAPJE (VU Amsterdam)

- Alexandru Bolfa (TU Delft)
- Angel Karchev (TU Delft)
- Jeroen Op de Beek (TU Delft)
- Leon van der Waal (TU Delft)
- Maarten Sijm (TU Delft)
- Matei Tinca (VU Amsterdam)
- Red Kalab (VU Amsterdam)