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- Observation: We can express this problem as the following equations:
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## F: Fastestest Function

- Problem: Given that foo took $x \%$ of the total run time before optimizing and $y \%$ after, what is the factor of how much faster foo got?
- Observation: We can express this problem as the following equations:

$$
\frac{\text { old time foo }}{\text { old time foo }+ \text { other time }}=x \% \quad \frac{\text { new time foo }}{\text { new time foo }+ \text { other time }}=y \%
$$

Goal: Rewrite these equations to find $\frac{\text { old time foo }}{\text { new time foo }}$

- Solution:

$$
\text { factor }=\frac{\text { old time foo }}{\text { new time foo }}=\frac{x \cdot(1-y)}{y \cdot(1-x)}
$$
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- Optimized:
- Observe that high numbers move to the right immediately, and low numbers move $k-1$ to the left per iteration.
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- Runtime: $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ for sorting, $\mathcal{O}(n)$ for finding the maximum distance.
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- Problem: Given a knitting pattern and amount of wool it costs for letting the wool strand unused, using the wool in a stitch, and for starting or ending the use of wool. Compute the minimal amount of wool required for every colour of wool.
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- Problem: Given a knitting pattern and amount of wool it costs for letting the wool strand unused, using the wool in a stitch, and for starting or ending the use of wool. Compute the minimal amount of wool required for every colour of wool.
- Observation: Between two times a colour of wool is used, you either leave the strand through the back unused for the entire gap, or you immediately end the use at the beginning of the gap and start using it at the end.
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- Solution: For every colour, iterate through the knitting pattern and remember the index of the last time the colour occurred. If you encounter the colour again, the marginal cost is the minimum between leaving the strand unused the whole time since the last time, and the sum of the costs for ending and starting. Runs in $\mathcal{O}(|w| \cdot n)$.
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- Problem: Copy $n$ psalms in at most $2 n \sqrt{n}$ pageflips.
- Most naive solutions use $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ pageflips, so you need to be smarter.
- Idea: each psalm corresponds to a point in 2D space, and the pageflips needed to copy one psalm after another corresponds to their Manhattan distance.
- So, you need to find a path of bounded length that visits all points.
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- Problem: Copy $n$ psalms in at most $2 n \sqrt{n}$ pageflips.
- Each band uses $n$ page flips for the horizontal segments, and at most $1+2+\ldots+\sqrt{n} \approx n / 2$ page flips for the vertical segments.
- The transitions between bands use at most $2 n$ page flips total.
- Overall: this uses at most $\sqrt{n}(n+n / 2)+2 n=1.5 n \sqrt{n}+2 n$ page flips.
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- Alternative solution: just greedily go to the nearest unvisited point.
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- This is guaranteed to succeed in 6 guesses:
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- Observation: The boxes will remain in their original order (they will never overtake each other).
- Observation: Groups of consecutive boxes map to an interval.
- The cost of moving a box from position $p$ to a position $x$, can be modelled with a quadratic function $C_{p}(x)=(x-p)^{2}$.
- Example: For one box with original position 3 moved to position $x, C_{3}(x)=(x-3)^{2}=x^{2}-6 x+9$.
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- Observation: The boxes will remain in their original order (they will never overtake each other).
- Observation: Groups of consecutive boxes map to an interval.
- The cost of moving a box from position $p$ to a position $x$, can be modelled with a quadratic function $C_{p}(x)=(x-p)^{2}$.
- Example: For one box with original position 3 moved to position $x, C_{3}(x)=(x-3)^{2}=x^{2}-6 x+9$.
- When adding the costs of two groups of boxes that overlap together, translate the cost function of the right group of boxes by the size of the left group.
- Example: For two boxes with original position 3, moved such that the left-most box is at position $x$, the summed cost is $C_{3,3}(x)=C_{3}(x)+C_{3}(x+1)=(x-3)^{2}+(x-2)^{2}=2 x^{2}-10 x+13$.
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- Problem: Given $n$ boxes at given positions. Moving a box $d$ positions costs $d^{2}$. What is the minimal cost to make all box positions distinct?
- The cost of a box at a position $x$, starting at position $p$, can be modelled with a quadratic function $C_{p}(x)=(x-p)^{2}$.
- For two boxes that start at position 3 , the summed cost is

$$
C_{3,3}(x)=C_{3}(x)+C_{3}(x+1)=(x-3)^{2}+(x-2)^{2}=2 x^{2}-10 x+13
$$

Proof by example:
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- The total runtime is $\mathcal{O}(n)$ (after sorting): we do at most $n-1$ merges.
- Problem: Given $n$ boxes at given positions. Moving a box $d$ positions costs $d^{2}$. What is the minimal cost to make all box positions distinct?
- Solution: Add every box from left to right, maintaining the optimal placement by maintaining the cost function for every group of boxes.
- If two groups of boxes touch or overlap, merge them into one group by summing their (possibly translated) costs.
- This new group may overlap with its preceding group after the merge, so merge recursively.
- For every group with cost $C(x)=a x^{2}+b x+c$, the minimal cost is:

$$
C\left(\left\lfloor\frac{-b}{2 a}+\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor\right)
$$

- The total runtime is $\mathcal{O}(n)$ (after sorting): we do at most $n-1$ merges.

Statistics: 10 submissions, 0 accepted, 9 unknown
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## Random facts

## Jury work

- 298 commits
- 375 secret test cases ( $\approx 31$ per problem!)
- 153 jury + proofreader solutions
- The minimum ${ }^{1}$ number of lines the jury needed to solve all problems is

$$
5+3+8+9+3+2+25+9+3+4+6+2=79
$$

On average 6.6 lines per problem, down from 7.5 in last year's preliminaries

[^3]Thanks to:

| The proofreaders | The jury |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jaap Eldering | Boas Kluiving |
| Kevin Verbeek | Jorke de Vlas |
| Mark van Helvoort | Ludo Pulles |
| Nicky Gerritsen | Maarten Sijm |
| Thomas Verwoerd | Ragnar Groot Koerkamp |
|  | Reinier Schmiermann |
|  | Ruben Brokkelkamp |
|  | Wessel van Woerden |
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[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ After codegolfing

